

SYMBOLIC TECHNOLOGIES

Dragos GHEORGHIU, Centre of Research, National University of Arts in Bucharest
gheorghiu_dragos@yahoo.com

Technologies and symbols

The present paper approach less discussed subject in archaeological research, i.e. the symbolic value of the technologies of building and deconstructing in prehistoric material culture, with a study-case in the Balkan-Danube-Carpathian Chalcolithic.

In his classification of human behaviour, Leach (1983:9) insisted on “*technical actions*, which serve to alter the physical state of the world “; according to this, all aspects of behaviour could have a symbolic connotation.

The association of symbolism with technologies could be perceived, in a primary phase, as an oxymoron, but, a minute approach of the subject would reveal that technology could co-exist with symbolical processes, because, as Lemonnier stressed, “men put meaning into the very production of techniques as well as make meaning out of existing technical elements.” (Lemonnier 2002: 17).

In this perspective one could search for a symbolical connotation even into the intimate structure of the technology, which is the *chaîne opératoire*. If the “[c]*haîne opératoire*”, that is a series of operations which transforms a substance from a raw material into a manufactured product” (van der Leeuw 2002: 240), seemed to have been until recently a rigid process, determined by the nature of the processed material, current approaches tended to present it as being a subjective choice of the technologist, being able to produce variants according to symbolic choices.

The choice (in the *chaîne opératoire*) - a symbolic act

As the most eloquent example to illustrate this subtitle I chose the potter’s choice of the temper, an action with functional and symbolic meaning at the same time. The choice of the temper is one among many symbolic choices during the process of manufacture of the clay object, because parallel with the functional *chaîne opératoire*, there is another one, the symbolic *chaîne opératoire*, comprising the succession of the symbolic operations.

An act of choosing a technical variant is a symbolic action; the temper in the clay paste could be dung, sand, grog, crushed bones or flint, due to the symbol the community intends to transmit.

To be precise, the choice belongs not only to the individual, but is created inside the symbolic paradigm of the community; it is in fact the community’s choice.

Even without the symbolic decision of the technologist a *chaîne opératoire* can develop into a symbolic action, if its meaning is analogous to the meaning of other *chaîne opératoire*, therefore being in a relationship of significance.

Chaines opératoire and functional-symbolic activity

I believe I identified an example of such relationship between *chaines opératoire* in the Balkan-Danube-Carpathian Chalcolithic traditions, whose material culture is characterized by a process of construction and

deconstruction from the micro level of ceramic objects to the macro level of the *tell* settlements. Characteristic for the material culture of these traditions is that all *chaines opératoire* of the categories identified were symbolic and in a symbolic relationship between them.

Additionally, by studying traditional cultures, I noticed the existence of some relationships of interdependence between the cultural categories, and, as Lemonnier pointed out, « ..parler de systeme technique permet aussi de mettre l'accent sur l'interdependance, l'interaction, des elements qui le constituent.. » (Lemonnier 1983 : 12).

A tell from Eastern Europe Chalcolithic is a “cumulative place-value” (Chapman 1994 a: 138) and an additional (Sherratt 1983: 192-3) result (of many overlapped levels of inhabitation. A further description of the ensemble which represents a tell could be the following: a symbolic mode of dwelling on a limited surface surrounded by a palisade and ditch (see Gheorghiu 2000b ; 2002a ;2003a ; 2003b ; 2005), with cyclical construction and deconstruction processes. Every wattle and daub house represents a symbolic system made of ovens, ceramic vases, figurines and innumerable objects made of perishable materials (see Gheorghiu 2000a ;2002a ; 2003a ; 2003b; 2005), that are constructed and deconstructed in the same way as the settlement.

A case study: A tell settlement or additive and subtractive technologies
(after Gheorghiu 2002a)

TELL ¹									
OBJECTS					MATERIALS				
LEVEL I OF SETTLING									
Palisade	House	Oven or fireplace	Vases	Human body	Clay from ditches	Clay from pits and foundations	Clay from flooding	Wood	Vegetal (cereals chaff twigs, reed)
BEGINNING OF GROWTH									
Tracing the perimeter of the settlement									
ENTRANCES AND PASSAGES Orientation and delimiting the areas of passage; liminal zones marked by posts								Procurement of tree beams of 30 cm average	Procurement of twigs
Digging of a contour ditch following the perimeter					Addition of clay probably for houses				
Thrusting the wooden palisade VARIANT: with regular posts ² ; VARIANT: with thick and thin posts ³ , plaited with twigs									

¹ The Boian-Gumelnita tells discussed are from south Romania and Bulgaria. The comments with Italics are the inferences of the author.

Covering the palisade with clay					<i>Addition of clay from ditches</i>				
THE CEASING OF THE PERIMETRAL GROWTH	BEGINNING OF THE INTERIOR GROWTH								
	Plotting ⁴ and orientation of houses ⁵	<i>The foundation of ovens</i>	Mix of different clays with sand						
	Extraction of clay: digging of foundation ditch ⁶	Building of ovens and exterior ⁷ fireplaces	Sacrifice of old vases	Fragmentation of human skeleton		Accumulation from the extraction from the sacrificial pits and deposition pits		Addition of large and thin beams	Addition of twig
			Selective addition of shards in tombs ⁸	Addition through ⁹ sacrifice of children ¹⁰ or selective deposition of skulls					
	FLOOR								
	Wooden floor ¹¹	Oven's pedestal ¹²						Addition of layers of split beams or planks	
	Covering with clay and plastering		Addition of a layer of slip						Straw binder ¹³ and clay ¹³ ;
	Covering of the floor with a vegetal mat ¹⁴		<i>Covering with a vegetal support like the demi-johns</i>						Vegetal mats plaiting
	WALLS								
	Thrusting the structural posts	Building of walls							

² Todorova 1982: 183, figs.114-115; 186, figs. 120-121; 188, figs. 124-125; 189, fig. 126; 190, figs. 128-129), Todorova 1982: 194, figs.135-137; 200, figs.147,149; 202, figs. 151, 153; 204, figs. 154-157); Todorova 1982: 218, fig. 71; 220, fig. 173; Gheorghiev 1963: 160.

³ Todorova 1982: 206-212, figs. 159-165; Todorova 1982: 222-226, figs. 175-183.

⁴ Todorova (1978: 48) and Marinescu-Bilcu (2000: 324) ; Todorova (1982: 206 ff.) and Marinescu-Bilcu (1997: 69).

⁵ Todorova 1982: 183-231; Comsa 1990: 72, fig. 33).

⁶ Marinescu-Bilcu et al. 1997: 68.

⁷ Marinescu-Bilcu 1996-1998: 96

⁸ Balteanu 1997: plate 42.

⁹ Marinescu-Bilcu 1996-1998: 111).

¹⁰ Marinescu-Bilcu 1996-1998: 111; Dumitrescu 1986: 78;

¹¹ Comsa 1990: 85; Todorova 1982: 152-153, figs. 95-97.

¹² Comsa 1990: 86.

¹³ Haita 1997: 87

¹⁴ Haita 1997: 88

	Thrusting non-structural posts								
	Plaiting of twigs ¹⁵ Plaited barns added to houses ¹⁶								
	Mix of clay with organic materials ¹⁷ (straws and dung or shards ¹⁸)	Mix of clay with organic materials ¹⁹ and shards	Addition of crushed shards in clay						
	Addition of clay (sometimes as clods ²⁰)		Clay prepared as clods			<i>The use of the clay from deposit pits or sacrificial pits</i>			Straw binder in clay ²¹
	The building of interior walls and of the ceiling		The building of the vase						
	The making of the windows	The making of the openings	The building of the vase						
	The plastering of the walls and columns ²²		Addition of a slip layer						
	The painting of interior ²³ and exterior walls and interior columns ²⁴	Painting	Crusted ware, graphite, slip, incisions						
	Building the roof								
	The covering of the roof with reed and clay	Oven in the form of the house	Covering with lids; sometimes the handles are architectural models			Clay		Poles for fixing ²⁵	Reed

¹⁵ Marinescu-Bilcu 1996-1998: 111

¹⁶ Nania 1967: 7.

¹⁷ Comsa 1990: 90

¹⁸ Marinescu-Bilcu 1996-1998: 111

¹⁹ Haita 1997: 87

²⁰ Comsa 1990: 89

²¹ Haita 1997: 87

²² Dumitrescu 1986.

²³ Comsa 1990: 81

²⁴ Dumitrescu 1986

²⁵ Comsa 1990: 85.

	Addition of successive layers of clay and painting ²⁶ on architectural objects ²⁷ (habitations and barns ²⁸)	Addition of successive layers of clay ²⁹	<i>Probably crusted ware</i>		Levelling of the soil by adding layers of clay ³⁰		Layers of natural accumulation due to water drain ³¹		<i>Addition of cereals and fodder</i>
	THE END OF THE GROWTH; BEGINNING OF DECREASING								
	The intentional pull down of some unburned walls ³²	The destruction of the oven for levelling the foundation of a future building ³³	The fragmentation of vases <i>on ritual purposes</i>	The addition of shards near the human body in tombs				<i>The reuse of wooden structure</i>	<i>The reuse of vegetal covering the roof</i>
	The transformation of the house in waste deposit or stable ³⁴ Partial abandonment of the tell ³⁵								
	Intentional ³⁶ or <i>accidental</i> burning of houses		Intentionally or <i>accidentally</i> burned vases					The combustion of wooden material	The combustion of all vegetal material
VARIANT: The space between the palisade and interior filled with recycled clay and rubbish/ceramic from the destroyed houses ³⁷	The pulling down of burned walls and the crushing and leveling of remains ³⁸ to form a new platform for next buildings								

²⁶ Comsa 1990: 81.

²⁷ Gheorghiev 1963: 160

²⁸ Marinescu-Bilcu et al. 1997: 65-66.

²⁹ Marinescu-Bilcu et al. 1997: 65-66

³⁰ Haita 2000:53

³¹ Haita 2000: 53.

³² Marinescu-Bilcu et al. 1997: 66.

³³ Popovici et al. 2000: 17

³⁴ Popovici et al. 2000: 17

³⁵ Haita 1997: 88; Haita 2000: 53

³⁶ Haita 1997: 88

³⁷ Todorova 1978: 49

³⁸ Popovici et al. 2000: 17

Preserving the same perimeter	VARIANT I: THE BEGINNING OF GROWTH Addition of clay and ash layers and continuing dwelling					Digging pits			
VARIANT I: THE REDUCING OF GROWTH The filling of ditches ³⁹ and the limitation of the surface of dwelling	The filling of ditches, the limitation of the surface of dwelling, and the increasing the number of houses								
VARIANT II: ABANDON The destruction of the palisade	VARIANT II: Total abandon of the houses						Natural accumulation of sediments from flooding		
TELL LEVEL II OF SETTLING									
The reconstruction of the old perimeter, or of a smaller one ⁴⁰	The reconstruction of houses on old locations		Selection and crushing of shards and architectural debris			Digging of pits filled with fragments of burned houses ⁴¹			
<i>Emplecton</i> walls made of the filling of palisade's wooden walls with clay mixed to waste	Floor prepared from destruction remains ⁴² ; walls from clay with organic remains and shards ⁴³	Addition of shards or crushed architectural fragments	Addition of shards or crushed fragments	Addition of shards					
TELL LEVEL III OF SETTLING	ditto	ditto	ditto	ditto					
LEVEL N OF SETTLING The transformation of parts of the palisade into walls of houses ⁴⁴	The transformation of parts of the palisade into walls of peripheral houses								
LEVEL N + 1 (with a smaller perimeter) OF SETTLING The use of parts of the palisade as walls for peripheral houses ⁴⁵ , a change in the geometry of entrances	The use of larger parts of the palisade as walls for peripheral houses								

³⁹ Comsa 1986: 61

⁴⁰ Comsa 1986: 66.

⁴¹ Comsa 1990

⁴² Marinescu-Bilcu et al. 1997: 69.

⁴³ Marinescu-Bilcu 1996-1998: 111.

⁴⁴ Todorova 1982; 212, fig. 165

⁴⁵ Todorova 1982: 202, figs. 151, 153

LEVEL N + 2 OF SETTLING The identification of a large part of the perimeter of the palisade with the walls of houses; a complete disappearance in architecture of the rites of passage									
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Perceived from the perspective of symbolic behaviour, the *tell*-settlement could be seen as the result of a complex functional and symbolic activity, defined by assemblages of symbolic technologies in different relationships of interdependence.

The symbol of recycling

At a close reading of the tell-settlement growth one can notice that, beside the functional and symbolic parallelism between the additive and subtractive processes, there is one more procedure which reintegrates in the new *chaines opératoire* material fragments produced from the anterior operations (Gheorghiu 2002a). I interpret this act of recycling the old material as a symbolic technology of rejuvenilization of the old substance of the settlement, the metonymic old fragment mixed with the new material representing a stage of a *chaine opératoire* designed to transgress the time.

Bibliography

Balteanu, A. C., 1997, Anthropology. *Cercetari arheologice* X, pp. 93-95.

Chapman, J., 1994, The Origins of farming in south-east Europe. *Prehistoire Europeenne* 6, pp. 133–156.

Comsa, E., 1986, Santurile de aparare ale asezarilor neolitice de la Radovanu, *Cultura si civilizatie la Dunarea de Jos*, Calarasi, 2, pp. 61-67.

Comsa, E., 1990 Radovanu. *Cultura si civilizatie la Dunarea de Jos* VIII, Calarasi.

Dumitrescu, Vi. , 1986 A doua coloana de lut ars din sanctuarul fazei Boian-Spantov de la Cascioarele (Jud. Calarasi), *Cultura si Civilizatie la Dunarea de Jos*, 2, pp. 69-72.

Gheorghiev, G., 1963, Glavni rezultati ot razkopkite na Azmatzkata celishna mogila pred g. 1961. In *Bulletin de l'Institut d'Archeologie XXVI*, pp. 157-176.

Gheorghiu, D., 2000a, The Rethoric of people and Grains, in U.Albarella, *Environmenatal Archaeology, Meaning and Purpose*, Kluwer Academic.

Gheorghiu, D., 2000b, Tropes in material culture, in Gheorghiu, D., (ed.) *Material, Virtual and Temporal Compositions: On the Relationship between Objects*, British Archaeological Reports, Archaeopress, Oxford.

Gheorghiu, D., 2001, The cult of ancestors in East European Chalcolithic: A holographic approach, in P.Biehl and F.Berthemes (eds.), *The Archaeology of Cult*, Archaeolingua, Budapest.

Gheorghiu, D., 2002a, On palisades, houses, vases and miniatures: The formative processes and metaphors of Chalcolithic tells, in A. Gibson (ed.), *Behind Wooden Walls: Neolithic palisaded enclosures in Europe*, British Archaeological Reports, Oxford

Gheorghiu, D., 2002b, The semiotic of magic thinking: Human body and clay figurines in Chalcolithic funerary rituals, in *Structural and semiotic investigations in archaeology*, Donetsk.

Gheorghiu, D., 2003a, Water, tells and textures: A multiscalar approach to Gumelnita hydrostrategies, in D. Gheorghiu (ed.), *Chalcolithic and Early Bronze Age Hydrostrategies*, British Archaeological Reports, Oxford

Gheorghiu, D., 2003b, The Lower Danube Chalcolithic Megaron House with Internal Column: The Technology of Building interpreted through Experiments, *The Old Potter's Almanack*, 2003, Vol.11, No.3, pp. 1-5.

Gheorghiu, D., 2005, *The Archaeology of Dwellings. Theory and Experiments*, Bucharest University Press, Bucharest.

Gheorghiu, D., The formation of tells in the Lower Danube wetland late Neolithic, *Journal of Wetland Archaeology*, (in press).

Haita, C. , 1997, Micromorphological study. *Cercetari arheologice X*, pp. 85-92.

Haita, C. , 2000, Sedimentologie. *Cercetari arheologice XI*, vol. I, pp. 48-55.

Lemonnier, P., 1983, L'Etude ses systemes techniques, une urgence en technologie culturelle, *Techniques et culture 1*, pp. 12-27.

Lemonnier, P., 2002, Introduction, in Lemonnier, P. (ed.), *Technical choices. Transformations in material cultures since the Neolithic*, Routledge, London, New York, pp. 1-35.

Marinescu-Bilcu, S., 2000, Sur l'organisation interne des certaines stations des cultures Boian-Gumelnita et Precucuteni-Cucuteni. In *Cercetari arheologice XI*, part I, pp. 321-336.

Marinescu-Bilcu, S., 1996-1998 Santierul arheologic de la Bucsani (jud. Giurgiu). In *Buletinul Muzeului "Theohari Antonescu"*, (2-4), pp. 93-111.

Marinescu-Bilcu, S., et alii, 1997, Archaeological research at Bordusani-Popina (Ialomita County) Preliminary report 1993-1994. In *Cercetari arheologice X*, pp. 35-39.

Nania, I., 1967, Locuitoarii gumelnieni in lumina cercetarilor de la Teiu. In *Studii si articole de istorie, Societatea de stinte istorice si filologice din Romania IX*, pp. 19-27.

Popovici, D., B. Randoin, Y. Rialland, V. Voinea, F. Vlad, C. Bem, and G. Haita, 2000, Les recherches archeologiques du tell de Harsova (dep. de Constantza) 1997-1998. *Cercetari arheologice XI*, vol. I, pp. 13-34.

Sherratt, A., 1983 The Eneolithic period in Bulgaria in its European context.
In A. Poulter (ed.), *Ancient Bulgaria*, vol. I, Nottingham, pp. 188-198.

Todorova, H., 1982, *Kupferzeitliche Siedlungen in Nordostbulgarien*,
Muenchen: Verlag C. H. Beck.

Todorova, H. , 1978, The Eneolithic period in Bulgaria in the V-th millennium
BC, *BAR*, 49.

Van der Leeuw, S., 2002, Giving the potter a choice, in Lemonnier, P. (ed.),
Technical choices. Transformations in material cultures since the Neolithic,
Routledge, London, New York.